IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUNATION SHEET
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5569 OF 2005

.....

Office Notes,Office memorandum of Coram, appearances,Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

.....

Mr.S.R.Shinde, A.P.P. for State
Mr.Nitesh Bhutekar h/f Mr.Uday Warunjikar for Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : DECEMBER 13,2006.
P.C.:

1. Heard learned A.P.P. for Applicant-State. Application for condonation of delay is strongly opposed by the Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. He has invited my attention to the Affidavit in reply filed by one of the Respondents.

2.I have perused the Application as well as additional Affidavit filed by the concerned Additional Public Prosecutor, High Court, Mumbai. In view of the averments made in the Application as well as statement made in the Affidavit of the Additional Public Prosecutor, there is a sufficient explanation for condonation of delay.

3.Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Place the Application for leave on 19th January 2007.

JUDGE